



JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

Inspection Report

Minerals Regulatory Program

February 10, 2006

Supervisor 

Mine Name: Poverty Point Mine
Operator Name: Holcim (US) Inc

Permit number: M/045/012
Inspection Date: September 29, 2005
Time: 11:30 am

Inspector(s): Lynn Kunzler, DOGM
Other Participants: none
Mine Status: Inactive.

Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Deleterious Material	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Concurrent Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Soils	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Revegetation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Purpose of Inspection:

This was a routine inspection while in the area.


Inspection Summary:

1. This site was inactive at the time of this inspection. There was not structures or equipment on site. There was however, a fuel tank near the entrance to property. The site appeared to have been inactive for some time, based on the amount of vegetation on the disturbed areas (mostly Russian thistle). The site also shows no signs of accelerated erosion.

Photos were taken to document site conditions.

Conclusions and Recommendations:

1. Continue to monitor this site when in the area.

Inspector's Signature 

LK:pb

File Name and Path

Date: February 13, 2006